Commutability evaluation [S]

provided by Pernille Kjeilen Fauskanger

IVD-MD comparison	zeta + confidence interval	upper zeta value	Differences in non-selectivity is	EQAM ID	Measurements for IVD-MD 1 and IVD-MD 2	Prediction + prediction interval	EQAM is	Conclusion strength %
Advia - Alinity	1.205 (0.77, 1.555)	2.29	deemed acceptable	1	(5.47, 5.46)	5.493 (5.344, 5.642)	inside PI	100%
Advia - Alinity	1.205 (0.77, 1.555)	2.29	deemed acceptable	2	(7.153, 7.133)	7.117 (6.97, 7.263)	inside PI	100%
Advia - Alinity	1.205 (0.77, 1.555)	2.29	deemed acceptable	3	(11.223, 10.927)	11.042 (10.892, 11.192)	inside PI	68%
Advia - Cholestech	1.133 (0.826, 1.529)	2.29	deemed acceptable	1	(5.343, 5.46)	5.481 (5.097, 5.865)	inside PI	100%
Advia - Cholestech	1.133 (0.826, 1.529)	2.29	deemed acceptable	2	(6.957, 7.133)	7.158 (6.78, 7.536)	inside PI	100%
Advia - Cholestech	1.133 (0.826, 1.529)	2.29	deemed acceptable	3	(10.2, 10.927)	10.53 (10.141, 10.918)	outside PI	67.5%
Advia - Cobas	0.77 (0.589, 1.005)	2.29	deemed acceptable	1	(5.537, 5.46)	5.521 (5.416, 5.627)	inside PI	99%
Advia - Cobas	0.77 (0.589, 1.005)	2.29	deemed acceptable	2	(7.123, 7.133)	7.066 (6.962, 7.17)	inside PI	95.5%
Advia - Cobas	0.77 (0.589, 1.005)	2.29	deemed acceptable	3	(11.063, 10.927)	10.901 (10.794, 11.007)	inside PI	100%
Advia - Vitros	1.902 (1.182, 2.662)	2.29	deemed acceptable	1	(5.607, 5.46)	5.5 (5.311, 5.688)	inside PI	100%
Advia - Vitros	1.902 (1.182, 2.662)	2.29	deemed acceptable	2	(7.253, 7.133)	7.118 (6.933, 7.304)	inside PI	100%

IVD-MD comparison	zeta + confidence interval	upper zeta value	Differences in non-selectivity is	EQAM ID	Measurements for IVD-MD 1 and IVD-MD 2	Prediction + prediction interval	EQAM is	Conclusion strength %
Advia - Vitros	1.902 (1.182, 2.662)	2.29	deemed acceptable	3	(11.157, 10.927)	10.955 (10.765, 11.145)	inside PI	99.5%
Alinity - Cholestech	1.148 (0.827, 1.547)	2.29	deemed acceptable	1	(5.343, 5.47)	5.457 (5.051, 5.863)	inside PI	100%
Alinity - Cholestech	1.148 (0.827, 1.547)	2.29	deemed acceptable	2	(6.957, 7.153)	7.196 (6.796, 7.596)	inside PI	100%
Alinity - Cholestech	1.148 (0.827, 1.547)	2.29	deemed acceptable	3	(10.2, 11.223)	10.692 (10.28, 11.104)	outside PI	98.5%
Alinity - Cobas	0.872 (0.504, 1.368)	2.29	deemed acceptable	1	(5.537, 5.47)	5.499 (5.321, 5.677)	inside PI	100%
Alinity - Cobas	0.872 (0.504, 1.368)	2.29	deemed acceptable	2	(7.123, 7.153)	7.1 (6.925, 7.276)	inside PI	100%
Alinity - Cobas	0.872 (0.504, 1.368)	2.29	deemed acceptable	3	(11.063, 11.223)	11.077 (10.897, 11.257)	inside PI	65%
Alinity - Vitros	2.836 (1.818, 3.615)	2.29	deemed excessive	1	(5.607, 5.47)	5.477 (5.255, 5.699)	inside PI	100%
Alinity - Vitros	2.836 (1.818, 3.615)	2.29	deemed excessive	2	(7.253, 7.153)	7.155 (6.937, 7.373)	inside PI	100%
Alinity - Vitros	2.836 (1.818, 3.615)	2.29	deemed excessive	3	(11.157, 11.223)	11.133 (10.909, 11.357)	inside PI	99.5%
Cholestech - Cobas	1.193 (0.911, 1.692)	2.29	deemed acceptable	1	(5.537, 5.343)	5.382 (5.015, 5.749)	inside PI	100%
Cholestech - Cobas	1.193 (0.911, 1.692)	2.29	deemed acceptable	2	(7.123, 6.957)	6.868 (6.506, 7.229)	inside PI	100%

NOKLUS 2/3

IVD-MD comparison	zeta + confidence interval	upper zeta value	Differences in non-selectivity is	EQAM ID	Measurements for IVD-MD 1 and IVD-MD 2	Prediction + prediction interval	EQAM is	Conclusion strength %
Cholestech - Cobas	1.193 (0.911, 1.692)	2.29	deemed acceptable	3	(11.063, 10.2)	10.557 (10.19, 10.924)	inside PI	49%
Cholestech - Vitros	1.388 (0.982, 1.937)	2.29	deemed acceptable	1	(5.607, 5.343)	5.363 (4.915, 5.81)	inside PI	100%